

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

cen.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/865,166	05/24/2001	Raymond T. Hsu	010115	2831	
23696 OUALCOMM	23696 7590 09/05/2007 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED			EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.			RYMAN, DANIEL J		
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/05/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/865,166	HSU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel J. Ryman	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u> 2007.</u>					
•	,—					
. closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parté</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are per	4) Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are reje	ected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>128</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,8,9,19,25-27,33-37,43-45,51,52,66-68,74-76,82,83,94,100,101,112,118-120 and 126-131.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1,8,9,19,25-27,33-37,43-45,51,52,66-68,74-76,82,83,94,100,101,112,118-120,126,127 and 129-131.

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 10 August 2007 regarding the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejections of claims 45, 51, 52, 66-68, 74-76, 82 and 83 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As Applicant recognizes, MPEP § 2106.IV.B.1.(a) states that "a computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory." Independent claims 45, 66 and 76 fail to define structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. Claim 45 merely claims a device with a memory storing a method. Similarly, claim 66 merely claims a node with a memory storing a method, and claim 76 merely claims a device with a memory having instructions stored thereon. Simply placing a method in a memory of a device does not "define structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized." As such, Examiner maintains that claims 45, 51, 52, 66-68, 74-76, 82 and 83 are directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 2. In addition, Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 8, 9, 19, 25-27, 33-37, 43-45, 51, 52, 66-68, 74-76, 82, 83, 94, 100, 101, 112, 118-120, 126, 127, and 129-131 have raised new issues with respect to 35 U.S.C. § 112. These rejections follow.

Claim Objections

Art Unit: 2616

3. Claim 128 is objected to because it recites "Point-to-Point Protocol links sharing an identical Internet Protocol Address". The Specification discloses that the endpoints of the PPP link have IP addresses, not the link itself. Specification: ¶ [1085]. Therefore Examiner suggests changing "Point-to-Point Protocol links having identical Internet Protocol Address" to "Point-to-Point Protocol links sharing at least one endpoint having an Internet Protocol Address". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claims 45, 51, 52, 66-68, 74-76, 82 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 6. Claim 45 recites: "A wireless device comprising a memory, wherein the memory embodies a method". Claim 66 recites: "A wireless network node comprising a memory, wherein the memory embodies a method". Claim 76 recites: "A wireless device comprising: . . . a memory . . . having code or instructions for directing the control processor [to perform certain steps]". Current USPTO practice requires that software be claimed using the following form: "Computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure for . . ." Any other language fails to define structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. As such, any other language for claiming a computer program is non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/865,166

Art Unit: 2616

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 4

- 8. Claims 1, 8, 9, 19, 25-27, 33-37, 43-45, 51, 52, 66-68, 74-76, 82, 83, 94, 100, 101, 112, 118-120, 126, 127, and 129-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Claims 1, 45, 66, 94, and 129 recite: "establishing a first Point-to-Point Protocol link having endpoints with an Internet Protocol Address" (or a variation thereof). Claims 1, 45, 66, 94, and 129 further recite: "establishing a second Point-to-Point Protocol link having the same Internet Protocol Address as the first Point-to-Point Protocol link" (or a variation thereof). It is unclear how an IP address can identify both the end points of the first PPP link and the link itself. It is also unclear whether the second PPP link, itself, is identified by an IP address or whether the endpoints of the second PPP link are identified by the IP address. Further, under the IP protocol, only a single node on a given network should be identified with a given IP address to ensure that a packet can be properly routed to the given node. Therefore, it is unclear how all of the endpoints of the first IP link are identified with a single IP address, as suggested by the phrase "establishing a first Point-to-Point Protocol link having endpoints with an Internet Protocol Address." Examiner requests that Applicant clarify whether all of the endpoints of a given PPP link are identified with an IP address or whether only one of the endpoints is identified with a given IP address. Also Examiner requests that Applicant clarify the addressing of the second PPP link.
- 10. Claims 19, 27, 35, 76, 112, and 120 recite: "each Point-to-Point Protocol session belonging to the set having endpoints with the same Internet Protocol address." Under the IP

Art Unit: 2616

protocol, only a single node on a given network should be identified with a given IP address to ensure that a packet can be properly routed to the given node. Therefore, it is unclear how the endpoints of each of the PPP links in the set are identified with a single IP address, as is suggested by the aforementioned recitation. Examiner requests that Applicant clarify whether all of the endpoints of a given PPP link are identified with an IP address or whether only one of the endpoints is identified with a given IP address. Examiner also requests that Applicant clarify the relationship of the endpoints of the various PPP links in the set, e.g. does each link share only one endpoint, all endpoints, no endpoints?

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1, 8, 9, 19, 25-27, 33-37, 43-45, 51, 52, 66-68, 74-76, 82, 83, 94, 100, 101, 112, 118-120, 126, 127, and 129-131 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest differentiating the endpoints of multiple PPP links using a link characteristic including at least one of a compression type, encryption level, RLP transmission delay, and guaranteed delivery level.
- 12. Claim 128 is allowed. The prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest differentiating the endpoints of multiple PPP links using a link characteristic including at least one of a compression type, encryption level, RLP transmission delay, and guaranteed delivery level.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Warrier et al. (USPN 6,684,256) see col. 1, line 52-col. 2, line 51, which details

Art Unit: 2616

distinguishing between multiple PPP links having the same associated IP address through unique pairs of home IP addresses and home agent IP addresses.

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (571)272-3152. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel J. Ryman Examiner Art Unit 2616

Danul Plyman